UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/369,134	08/05/1999	ORAN D. TARLTON	11666.102.NPUS0	6392
47548 7590 03/14/2008 RICHARD AUCHTERLONIE NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA 53RD FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, VISHAL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX	HOUSTON, TX 77002			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ORAN D. TARLTON

Appeal 2008-0340 Application 09/369,134 Technology Center 3600

Decided: March 14, 2 008

Before: MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, HUBERT C. LORIN and STEVEN D.A. McCARTHY, Administrative Patent Judges.

McCARTHY, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION ON APPEAL

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Appellant appeals under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002) from the final 3rejection of claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 13, 21 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) 4(2002) as being unpatentable over Fyffe (U.S. Patent 1,426,724) in view of 5Ogino (U.S. Patent 5,651,494); and the final rejection of claims 5, 7, 12, 14,

2Appeal 2008-0340 3Application 09/369,134

122-24 and 26 under section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fyffe in view 2of Ogino and Poe (U.S. Patent 4,563,025). Independent claim 4 is 3representative of the Appellant's claims and reads as follows:

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

4. A composite metal seal comprising a core of relatively hard metal, and at least one annular region of relatively soft metal that is integrally bonded with the core of relatively hard metal and that provides an annular sealing surface for effecting a fluid pressure seal, wherein the annual [sic, annular] region of relatively soft metal is welded onto the core of relatively hard metal.

1213

14We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b) (2002).

We reverse.

The primary issue in this appeal is whether the combined teachings of 17Fyffe and Ogino would have suggested a composite metal seal ring having 18one or more annular regions of relatively soft metal welded onto an annular 19core of relatively hard metal. Fyffe teaches collars for joining metal pipes. 20(Fyffe, II. 9-10). Referring to Fig. 1 of the reference, each of the collars a 21and b has a threaded end which fits over an end of one of the pipes g and j as 22well as a flared end axially opposite the threaded end. An internal core c of 23hard metal fits into a cavity formed by the flared ends of the collars a and b. 24(Fyffe, II. 35-38 and Fig. 1). A seating d of soft metal mates with the 25external surface of the core c and is gripped by the interior surface of the 26flared end of the collar a. Another seating d of soft metal mates with the 27external surface of the core c and is gripped by the interior surface of the 28flared end of the collar b. (Id.; Fyffe, II. 53-62).

```
6Appeal 2008-0340
7Application 09/369,134
```

The core c appears to be ring-shaped in the sense that it is spherical 2with a cylindrical interior passageway for allowing fluid to pass between the 3pipes g and j. The two seatings d appear in Fig. 1 to be annular in shape. 4"The core is preferably provided with a central rib or stop h" which appears 5to space the two seatings with respect to a longitudinal axis of the core. 6(Fyffe, 1l. 46-47).

Ogino teaches an apparatus for ultrasonically welding a hard metal 8piece to soft metal pieces. A first soft metal piece lies on a workbench. The 9hard metal piece lies over the soft metal piece. A layer of metal having high 10plastic fluidity covers the hard metal piece. A second soft metal piece lies 11atop the hard metal piece. An ultrasonic vibrator presses a horn tip against 12the second soft metal piece atop the hard metal piece. (Ogino, col. 2, 1l. 26-1346). The ultrasonic vibrator vibrates the horn tip laterally. As the horn tip 14vibrates, the stack of metal pieces is gripped between the horn tip and the 15workbench. (Ogino, col. 2, 1l. 54-60). The lateral vibration of the horn tip 16causes the soft metal pieces to bond with the layer of metal covering the 17hard metal piece. (Ogino, col. 2, 1. 66 – col. 3, 1. 2).

18 The Examiner finds that:

19 Fyffe fails to disclose that the hard and soft metals 20 are integrally bonded together. Ogino discloses 21 integrally bonding of hard metal to soft metal by 22 welding. It would have been obvious to one 23 24 having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the hard metal and 25 soft metal of Fyffe to be welding as taught by 26 Ogino to provide a bond between metals and also 27 28 to prevent loss of the soft metal from the hard 29 metal (column 1, lines 41-43). 30

10Appeal 2008-0340 11Application 09/369,134

1(Ans. 5). With respect to claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 13, 21 and 25, the Appellant 2contends that:

3 In the present application, there is nothing in the 4 prior art of record to suggest the desirability of 5 welding the soft metal to the hard metal in the seal 6 7 of Fyffe. Fyffe appears to be entirely satisfactory for its intended purpose of making a metal-to-8 metal fluid pressure seal between two hubs. 9 Moreover, there is nothing in Ogino suggesting 10 that his ultrasonic welding should be used for 11 12 fabricating a pressure seal. Furthermore, it appears 13 that Ogino's apparatus of FIG. 2 would need to be modified somehow for welding of the hard and 14 soft metal in the seal of Fyffe, due to the fact that 15 Ogino's ultrasonic welding method drives the hard 16 metal into the soft metal, as shown in FIG. 3A and 17 described in column 2 line 66 to column 3 line 4. 18 19

20(Br. 11). The Examiner responds that "[t]he argument that Ogino fails in 21'suggesting that his ultrasonic welding should be used for fabricating a 22pressure seal' is not persuasive since Ogino is used to teach only that two 23metals can be welded together to provide a bond between the two metals." 24(Ans. 8).

We agree with the Appellant that the Examiner has not articulated a 26sufficient reason why one of ordinary skill in the art would have modified 27Fyffe's structure in view of the teachings of Fyffe and Ogino. Each of 28claims 3, 4 and 6 recites that the annular region of soft metal is welded onto 29the core of relatively hard metal. Each of claims 10, 11, 13, 21 and 25 30recites that each of the first and second annular regions of soft metal is 31welded onto the annular core of relatively hard metal. For the following

14Appeal 2008-0340 15Application 09/369,134

1reasons, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have looked to Ogino's 2ultrasonic welding process to integrally bond parts of a fluid pressure sealing 3ring.

- There appear to be several problems with using Ogino's ultrasonic 5welding process to weld hard and soft metals in a fluid pressure sealing 6ring. One problem is that Ogino's process is designed for welding hard and 7soft metals having geometries significantly different from the cores of 8relatively hard metal and the annular regions of relatively soft metal recited 9in the claims. Another problem is that close dimensional tolerances appear 10to be required to fabricate metal-to-metal contact seals capable of 11withstanding high fluid pressures. As the Appellant points out, one of 12ordinary skill in the art could not have predicted that Ogino's welding 13process would produce a usable seal because the process may suffer 14dimension control problems due to the process driving the core of relatively 15hard metal into the region of relatively soft metal. (*See* Ogino, col. 2, l. 66 16col. 3, l. 9).
- Therefore, we conclude that one of ordinary skill in the art would not 18have been led to modify Fyffe's structure to include an annular region of soft 19metal welded onto a core of relatively hard metal as recited in claims 3, 4 20and 6 or first and second annular regions of soft metal is welded onto the 21core of relatively hard metal as recited in claims 10, 11, 13, 21 and 25 given 22Ogino's welding process. On the record before us, the Appellant has shown 23that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 11 and 13.
- With respect to claims 5, 7, 12, 14, 22-24 and 26, the Examiner finds 25that Poe teaches grooves in the surface of a sealing ring "to maintain the 26integrity of all radial compression to the ring and also to enable the ring to

18Appeal 2008-0340 19Application 09/369,134

1remain within elastic limit of the seal ring material." (Ans. 6). The 2Examiner additionally finds that Poe teaches "distribution of stress in a 3sealed joint by the use of grooves and lands" on the surface of the seal. 4(Ans. 9). Neither of these teachings overcomes our finding that one of 5ordinary skill in the art would not have been led to modify Fyffe's structure 6given Ogino's welding process to arrive at the claimed composite metal seal. 7On the record before us, the Appellant has shown that the Examiner erred in 8rejecting claims 5, 7, 12, 14, 22-24 and 26.

9

10

CONCLUSION OF LAW

On the record before us, the Appellant has shown that the combined 12teachings of Fyffe and Ogino would not have provided one of ordinary skill 13in the art with reason to modify Fyffe's structure to include one or more 14annular regions of soft metal welded onto a core of relatively hard metal. 15Therefore, the Appellant has shown that the Examiner erred in rejecting 16claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 13, 21 and 25 under section 103(a) as having been 17obvious from Fyffe in view of Ogino. The Appellant also has shown that 18the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 5, 7, 12, 14, 22-24 and 26 under 19section 103(a) as having been obvious from Fyffe in view of Ogino and Poe.

20

21 DECISION

We reverse the Examiner's rejection of claims 3-7, 10-14 and 21-26.

23

24 <u>REVERSED</u>

25

26

```
22Appeal 2008-0340
23Application 09/369,134
24
1
2JRG
3
4HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP
5750 BERING DRIVE
6HOUSTON, TEXAS 77057
```